THE INFLUENCE OF LEVEL EDUCATION, JOB TRAINING, LEVEL ABSENTEEISM TOWARD EMPLOYEE PERFORMANCE AT PT.PEGADAIAN (PERSERO) REGIONAL OFFICE V MANADO

THESIS

WINDA YULIA SALEH 13042013



MANAGEMENT DEPARTMENT
FACULTY OF ECONOMICS
UNIVERSITAS KATOLIK DE LA SALLE
MANADO
2018

THE INFLUENCE OF LEVEL EDUCATION, JOB TRAINING, LEVEL ABSENTEEISM TOWARD EMPLOYEE PERFORMANCE AT PT.PEGADAIAN (PERSERO) REGIONAL OFFICE V MANADO

WINDA YULIA SALEH

13042013

Presented as a fulfillment of the requirement

in obtaining Bachelor Degree in Economics at Faculty of Economics

UniversitasKatolik De La Salle Manado

THE INFLUENCE OF LEVEL EDUCATION, JOB TRAINING, LEVEL ABSENTEEISM TOWARD EMPLOYEE PERFORMANCE AT PT.PEGADAIAN (PERSERO) REGIONAL OFFICE V MANADO

WINDA YULIA SALEH

13042013

Has been fulfilled the requirements to be accepted by advisory commission

Advisor I

Ch. Adrie P Koleangan, SE., MM.

Advisor II

Loureine P. Sumual, SE., MFM.

Manado, August 2th 2018

Faculty of Economics

Universitas Katolik De La Salle Manado

Dean of Economics Faculty

Apolinaris A. Montoalu, SS., MS

Head of Management Department

Harli M. Merung, SE., MM

Scanned with CamScanner

LEGALIZATION PAGE

THE INFLUENCE OF LEVEL EDUCATION JOB TRAINING AND ABSENTEEISM TOWARD EMPLOYEE PERFORMANCE AT PEGADAIAN (PERSERO) REGIONAL OFFICE V MANADO

WINDA YULIA SALEH

13042013

Has been examined and defended in front of examiner board

On August10* 2018

Ch. Adrie P. Koleangan, SE., MM

Adviso

Loureine P. Sumual, SE, MFM

Examiners,

Harli M. Merung, SE., MM

Apolinaris A. Montolalu, SS., MS

This thesis has been accepted as partial fulfillment

to obtain Bachelor Degree

On August10- 2018

Acknowledge by,

Dean of Economies Faculty

polinaris A. Montolalu, SS., MS

Head of Management Department

Harli M. Merung, SE., MM

iii

Scanned with CamScanner

ABSTRACK

SALEH YULIA WINDA, THE INFLUENCE OF LEVEL EDUCATION, JOB TRAINING, AND LEVEL ABSENCE ON EMPLOYEE PERFORMANCE ATPT.PEGADAIAN (PERSERO) REGIONAL OFFICE V MANADO. THROWED BY KOLEANGAN. ADRIE P.Ch,SE,MM AND SUMUAL.P LOUREINE SE.,MFM.

The level of education, job training, and the level of absence of work is a very important factor in efforts to improve employee performance in a company. In working requires supporting facilities to be able to accelerate the completion of work and other facilities, and to improve employee performance must also provide a sense of security and welfare for employees by running company programs determined by management.

This research was conducted at PT. Pegadaian (Persero) Regional Office V Manado, which has research objectives to determine the effect of education levels, job training, and the level of absence of work on the work performance of employees of PT. Pegadaian (Persero) Regional Office V Manado.

Data analysis in this research uses multiple analysis, validity and reliability test, T-test, F-test, R square. Number of respondents by 50 respondents taken from all employees PT.Pegadaian (Persero) Regional Office V Manado.

Based on partial-test results, education level (X1) t arithmetic -0.371 <t table 2.012, H_1 is rejected, which means education level has no significant effect on employee performance. Work Training (X2) t arithmetic 0.149 <t table 2.012, H_2 rejected which means job training has not significantly influence employee performance. The absence rate of work (X3) t arithmetic -1648 <t table 2.012, H_3 is rejected which means the level of work absence has no significant effect on employee performance, which means all X variables have no significant effect on variable Y.

Keywords : Level Education, Job Training, Level Absence, Employee Performance

ABSTRAK

WINDA YULIA SALEH, PENGARUH TINGKAT PENDIDIKAN, PELATIHAN KERJA, DAN TINGKAT KETIDAKHADIRAN KERJA TERHADAP KINERJA KERJA KARYAWAN PT.PEGADAIAN KANTOR WILAYAH V MANADO. DIBIMBING OLEH ADRIE KOLEANGAN,SE,MM DAN LOUREINE P.SUMUAL, SE.,MFM.

Tingkat pendidikan, pelatihan kerja, dan tingkat ketidakhadiran kerja merupakan factor yang sangat penting dalam upaya meningkatkan kinerja karyawan pada suatu perusahaan. Dalam bekerja memerlukan fasilitas pendukung untuk dapat mempercepat dalam penyelesaian pekerjaan dan fasilitas lainnya, dan untuk meningkatkan kinerja karyawan juga harus memberikan rasa aman dan kesejahteraan bagi karyawan dengan menjalankan program-program perusahaan yang di tentukan oleh pihak manajemen.

Penilitian ini di lakukan di PT.Pegadaian (Persero) Kantor Wilayah V Manado, yang memiliki tujuan penilitian untuk mengetahui pengaruh tingkat pendidikan, pelatihan kerja, dan tingkat ketidakhadiran kerja terhadap kinerja kerja karyawan PT.Pegadaian (Persero) Kantor Wilayah V Manado.

Analisis data dalam penilitian ini menggunakan analisa berganda, uji validitas dan reliabilitas, T-test, F-test, R square. Jumlah responden sebesar 50 responden yang diambil dari semua karyawan PT.Pegadaian (Persero) Kantor Wilayah V Manado.

Berdasarkan hasil partial-test, Tingkat pendidikan (X1) t hitung -0,371 < t table 2.012, H₁ ditolak yang berarti tingkat pendidikan tidak berpengaruh signifikan terhadap kinerja karyawan. Pelatihan Kerja (X2) t hitung 0,149 < t table 2.012, H₂ ditolak yang berarti pelatihan kerja tidak berpengaruh signifikan terhadap kinerja karyawan. Tingkat ketidakhadiran kerja (X3) t hitung -1648 < t table 2.012, H₃ ditolak yang berarti tingkat ketidakhadiran kerja tidak berpengaruh signifikan terhadap kinerja karyawan, yang bearti semua variable X tidak berpengaruh signifikan terhadap variable Y.

Kata-kata kunci : Tingkat Pendidikan, pelatihan kerja, tingkat ketidakhadiran kerja, kinerja karyawan

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Praise to God almighty, Jesus Christ for blessing and guide during my thesis period, from composing process of this thesis until the seminar of thesis. Many challenges before and after the thesis done, but thanks to the Glory of God all of can be attended and finish on time.

The thesis report is part of the responsibility in finishing studies bachelor degree in faculty of economics. Preparation and completion of the thesis is not easy but the student also get so many knowledge and experience from that. Therefore, the student would like to take this opportunity to thank you as much as possible to:

- 1. My Mother AgustinaWahongan and my Father Roy Saleh for always support, help, a lot of sacrifice, and a lot of prayer which is very meaningful to me. Thanks for everythink Mom and Dad.
- 2. Father Prof. Dr. JohanisOhoitimur, . as the Rector of UniversitasKatolik De La Salle Manado.
- 3. Apolinaris Abdon Montolalu, SS,M.S., as the Dean of the Faculty of Economics, De La Salle Catholic University of Manado &my Examiners who give suggestions to make this thesis better.
- 4. HarliMerung, S.E.,M.M as the Head of Management Department in Faculty of Economics in De La Salle Catholic University of Manado &my Examiners who give suggestions to make this thesis better.
- 5. Ch.AdrieP.Koleangan, SE, MM my advisor I who gave me many advices the thesis and also the knowledge during finishing this thesis. Thank u so much sir.
- 6. Loureine P.Sumual, SE, MFM as my advisor II that help, support, guide, giving advice and motivate me to make and finish this thesis research. Thank you so much mem.
- 7. My Sister NingsihZakaria, DelyanaTonapa, SuciGladis Saleh & My Brother Ferdinan Saleh, Roby Saleh who helped me in the preparation of this report.

8. For all employee and the head of PT.Pegadaian (Persero) Regional Office V Manado who has helped in filling out the questionnaire. Especially for Mr. Edi Sarwono as the Leader who has given permission to conduct research also to the chief manager of Mr. Mahasri who has provided information about the company.

9. My Best friends, Lingling, Belinda, Siwe, Celly, Gita who always laught, love, carring and support me. Thanks a lot guys

10. Thank's to my Beloved Ken MarfiTheis, SE who have helped me and gave me support so that can complete this thesis.

11. And all friends that the student cannot said all of them one by one, thank you for everything.

Finally, I realized that this thesis is still not perfect enough and far from perfect. Suggestions and critics are needed for the perfection of this report/ Finally, the student hopes that this report can be useful for others.

Manado, August 2018

Student

STATEMENT OF THESIS ORIGINALITY

I, who sign this thesis statement, state that this thesis:

THE INFLUENCE OF LEVEL EDUCATION JOB TRAINING AND LEVEL ABSENTEEISM TOWARD EMPLOYEE PERFORMANCE AT.PT PEGADAIAN (PERSERO) REGIONAL OFFICE V MANADO

Is truly my originality work.

In this thesis, there are no partly of overall of other people's writing and opinion that I recognize as my writing. When I used other people's writing and opinion by quoting implicitly, I have recognized and showed the sources of its origin. If this thesis that I wrote is being proved as plagiarism, I will take consequences given to me, including the cancellation of degree and certificate.

Manado, August 10º2018

Party who gives the statement

B51 BAFF23720900E

5000 🌉 WI

Winda Yulia Saleh

Ch. Adrie P. Kolcangan, SE., MM

Witness I, as advisor and member of Examiners Board

Harli M. Merung, SE., MM

Witness II, as member of Examiners Board

Apolinaris A. Montolalu, SS., MS

Witness III, as member of Examiners Board

Scanned with CamScanner

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Pages
TITLE PAGEi
APPROVAL PAGEii
LEGALIZATION PAGEiii
ABSTRACTiv
ABSTRAKv
ACKNOWLEDGMENTvi
STATEMENT OF THESIS ORIGINALITYviii
TABLE OF CONTENTSix
LIST OF FIGURESxvi
LIST OF TABLESxvii
LIST OF APPENDICESxix
CHAPTER I : 1
1.1 Background1
1.2 Problem statement6
1.3 Research Objectives7
1.4 Research Limitation
1.5 Research Benefits9
CHAPTER II:THEORITICAL FRAMEWORK9
2.1. Human resources Management9

2.2 Level of Education
2.2.1 Educational Objectives
2.2.2 Level of education
2.2.3 Factors Affecting The level of Education
2.2.4 Indicator Level Education
2.3 Job Training15
2.3.1 Factors Affecting job training
2.3.2 Preparation steps job training
2.3.3 Job training needs analyis
2.3.4 Benefits of job training19
2.3.5 Training Objective
2.3.6 Training Evaluation
2.3.7 Indicators Job Training23
2.4. Level of work Absenteeism
2.4.1 The effect of high absenteeism
2.4.2 The Reason for the absence
2.4.3 Indicators level of absenteeism
2.5 Performance employee
2.5.1 Factors Affecting performance
2.5.2 Aspects of performance employee29
2.5.3 Indicators of performance
2.6 Relation between variable30

2.6.1 Relationsip between variable level education	30
2.6.2 Relationsip between variable job training	31
2.6.3 Relationsip between variable level absenteeism	31
2.7 Previous Research	31
2.8 Framework of thinking	33
CHAPTER III : RESEARCH METHODS	35
3.1 Types of research	35
3.2 Object Research	35
3.3 Sources of data	35
3.4 Data collection methods	36
3.5 Population	36
3.6 Variable measurement	36
3.7 Instrumental Test	39
3.7.1 Validty Test	39
3.7.2 Reliability Test	40
3.8 Multiple linear regression	40
3.9 Hypothesis Test	41
3.9.1 T-Test	41
3.9.2 F-Test	42
3.9.3 Multiple Coefficient correlation	43
3.9.4 Coefficient Determination	44
CHAPTER IV: RESULT AND DISCUSSION	45

4.1 Company Profile	45
4.2.2 Mission	45
4.2,3 Logo	46
4.3 Characteristics Respondent	46
4.3.1 Characteristics respondent by Gender	47
4.3.2 Characteristics respondent by education	48
4.3.3 Characteristics respondent by lengh of work	48
4.3.4 Characteristics respondent based on age	49
4.4 Data analysis Technique	51
4.4.1 Validity Test	51
4.4.2 Reliability Test	53
4.4.3 Multiple Linear Regression	57
4.4.4 T-Test	59
4.4.5 F-Test	62
4.4.6 Multiple correlation Coefficient	64
4.4.7 Coefficient of Determination	66
4.5 Result Interpretation	68
BAB V : CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION	69
5.1 Conclusion	71
5.2 Recommendation	72
BIBLIOGRAPHY	73
APPENDICES	76

LIST OF FIGURES

	Pages
Figure 4.1 Logo Pegadaian	50
Figure 4.2 Respondent based on gender	51
Figure 4.3 Respondent based on education	52
Figure 4.4 Respondent based on lengh of work	54
Figure 4.5 Respondent based on age	55

LIST OF TABLES

	Pages
Table 1.1 Employee Attandance data	6
Table 2.1 Previous Rsearch	34
Table 3.1Variables Measurement	40
Table 4.1 The Result of Validity Test	57
Table 4.2 Reliability Statistic	59
Table 4.3Kolmogrov Smirnov Test	61
Table 4.4 The Result of Multiple linear test	62
Table 4.5 The Result of T-test (Parsial Test)	64
Table 4.6 The Result of F-test (Simultan Test)	65
Table 4.7 Correlation coefficient (r)	66
Table 4.8 Coefficient Determination (r ²)	67